

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/825,328	04/03/2001	Srinivas Gutta	US010164	1775
24737	4737 7590 02/09/2006		EXAMINER	
PHILIPS IN	TELLECTUAL PROF	NGUYEN, DUC M		
P.O. BOX 3001 BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
BRIARCEIFF	WAROK, NT 10310		2685	
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/825,328	GUTTA ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
	_	Duc M. Nguyen	2685		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 November 2005</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-6,9-16,19-26,29-33 and 36-47 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) See Continuation Sheet is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,11,21,31,38,39,41 and 45 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Applicati	on Papers				
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:			

Continuation of Disposition of Claims: Claims withdrawn from consideration are 2-6,9,10,12-16,19,20,22-26,29,30,32,33,36,37,40,42-44,46 and 47.

Art Unit: 2685

Ĭ

DETAILD ACTION

This action is in response to applicant's response filed on 11/25/05. Claims 1-6, 9-16, 19-26, 29-33, 36-47 are now pending in the application. **This action is made final**.

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Newly submitted claims 40, 42-44, 46-47 directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons:
 - Recall that this application has been restricted in the previous Office Action mailed on 5/17/05, wherein the restriction is made as follow,
 - Claims 1, 11, 21, 31, 38-39 drawn to a method for recommending an item based on user's preferences under one or more environmental conditions, classified in class 705, subclasses 10, 26
 - II. Claims 2-4, 12-14, 22-24 drawn to a method for recommending a radio station, or content or product based on user's preferences under one or more environmental conditions, classified in class 705, subclasses 10, 26 and class 455, subclasses 3.01+, 432.3.
 - III. Claims 5-8, 15-18, 25-28, 32-35 drawn to a method for recommending an item based on different environmental conditions such as location, weather or user motion, classified in class 705, subclasses 10, 26 and class 455, subclass 456.1.

Application/Control Number: 09/825,328 Page 3

Art Unit: 2685

IV. Claims 9-10, 19-20, 29-30, 36-37 drawn to a method for recommending an item based on preferences of a user profile, classified in class 705, subclasses 10, 26 and class 455, subclass 433.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention (group I, claims 1, 11, 21, 31, 38-39), by amending claims 1, 11, 21, 31, 38-39 to include limitations of group III (claims 5-8, 15-18, 25-28, 32-35), <u>Applicant has</u> conditionally elected group III for further prosecution.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention (group I), this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, dependent claims 40, 42-44, 46-47 which comprise limitations from groups II and IV withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

2. This application contains claims 2-6, 9-10, 12-16, 19-20, 22-26, 29-30, 32-33, 36-37, 40, 42-44, 46-47 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the response filed on 6/17/05 and also claims 40, 42-44, 46-47 in this Office Action. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC ∋ 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 09/825,328

Art Unit: 2685

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims **1, 11, 21, 31, 38-39, 41, 45** are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable by **Delgado** (US **2002/0052873**).

Regarding claim **1**, **Delgado** discloses a method for recommending an item (i.e, vacation) to a user, comprising the steps of:

- observing preferences of said user under one or more environmental conditions
 (see [0037]-[0041]); and
- generating a recommendation score for said item based on features of said item and said observed preferences of said user under said one or more environmental conditions (see [0048]—[0051], wherein the matching percentage score for ranking would read on the "recommendation score" as claimed with the broadest reasonable interpretation), wherein the one or more environmental conditions includes at least one of weather condition as claimed (see [0041]).

Therefore, the claimed limitation regarding a recommend score is made obvious by **Delgado**.

Regarding claims **11, 31, 39**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above. In addition, since **Delgado** discloses the method is used for recommending a vacation, it is clear that the recommending vacation would obviously be based on a given time as claimed (i.e, see [0057], regarding Time factors: early Jan, Mid Jan, Late Jan, etc...).

Application/Control Number: 09/825,328

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claims **21**, **38**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claim 1 above, wherein it is clear that a computer readable medium is obviously, if not inherently, required in order to compute scores and generate recommended items to a user (see Figs. 1-2).

Regarding claims **41, 45**, the claims are rejected for the same reason as set forth in claims 38-39 above. In addition, since **Delgado** discloses the method is used for recommending a vacation, it is clear that the recommending vacation would obviously be based on an region, area or at least one of a location as claimed (i.e, see [0057] regarding region factors, and see also [0053] regarding location filter).

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1, 11, 21, 31, 38-39 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Page 6

7. Any response to this final action should be mailed to:

Box A.F.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(571) 273-8300 (for formal communications intended for entry)

(571)-273-7893 (for informal or draft communications).

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Customer Service Window, Randolph Building, 401 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA 22314.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or communications from the examiner should be directed to Duc M. Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-7893, Monday-Thursday (9:00 AM - 5:00 PM).

Or to Edward Urban (Supervisor) whose telephone number is (571) 272-7899.

Duc M. Nguyer The hypryce
Feb 2, 2006